

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/333,963

Applicant(s)

TAKAOKA, NAOKI

Examiner

Colin M. LaRose

Art Unit

2623

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Colin M. LaRose. (3)_____.

(2) Matthew Shanley 47,074. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 07 March 2003 .

Type: a)☒ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference
c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____ .

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 23 .

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a .

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☒ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the possibility of 35 USC 112 issues with claims 1 and 23. Examiner informed Applicant there does not appear to be any 112 issues with these claims. .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required